# REPORT OF THE AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT OF THE GALLATIN COUNTY PROPERTY VALUATION ADMINISTRATOR

For The Period July 1, 2004 Through June 30, 2005



# CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

105 SEA HERO ROAD, SUITE 2 FRANKFORT, KY 40601-5404 TELEPHONE (502) 573-0050 FACSIMILE (502) 573-0067



# Independent Accountant's Report

Robbie Rudolph, Secretary Finance and Administration Cabinet The Honorable Vesta Moore Gallatin County Property Valuation Administrator Warsaw, Kentucky 41095

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Gallatin County Property Valuation Administrator (PVA), solely to assist you with the accountability for statutory contribution, receipts and disbursements, including capital outlay disbursements, city government receipts, recordkeeping, and leases and contracts for the period July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005. This engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures was performed in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the Gallatin County Property Valuation Administrator. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and findings are as follows:

## 1. Procedure -

Determine if the PVA has a receipt ledger, a disbursement ledger, and reconciles bank records to books each month. Re-perform the year-end bank reconciliation (June 30, 2005), to determine if amounts are accurate.

Finding -

The PVA properly maintains a receipt ledger, a disbursement ledger, and reconciles bank records to books each month. Year-end bank reconciliation was determined to be accurate.

### 2. Procedure -

Compare recorded city receipts to confirmed payment amounts obtained from city governments. Also review the list of city receipts for completeness.

Finding -

Recorded receipts were confirmed with the cities. One city paid late, on September 25, 2005.



Robbie Rudolph, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
The Honorable Vesta Moore
Gallatin County Property Valuation Administrator
(Continued)

### 3. Procedure -

Compare the budgeted statutory contribution by fiscal court to the legally required amounts calculated by the Department of Revenue. Trace the fiscal court payments from the fiscal court statutory contribution budget account to the PVA's local bank account.

Finding -

Budgeted statutory contribution from fiscal court agrees to amount calculated by Department PVA's local bank account.

### 4. Procedure -

Judgmentally select 15 disbursements from PVA records and agree amounts to cancelled checks, paid invoices or other supporting documentation. Determine if the expenditure is for official business. Review all credit card statements (If Any) to determine if expenditures are for official business.

Finding -

Disbursements as recorded agree to cancelled checks, paid invoices and other supporting documentation. Expenditures were found to be for official business without exception. PVA does not have a credit card.

## 5. Procedure -

Compare capital outlay disbursements with cancelled checks, supporting documentation, and proper purchasing procedures. Verify the location of newly acquired assets. Determine if assets were added to the PVA's Capital Asset Inventory List.

Finding -

PVA had no capital outlay for the period under review.

# 6. Procedure -

Scan vehicle lease agreements, personal service contracts, and professional service contracts for cost schedules and compare to actual payments. Determine if services received were appropriate, for official business, and properly authorized.

Finding -

Payments for contracts are in compliance with the agreement. Services were appropriate, for official business, and were properly authorized.

Robbie Rudolph, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
The Honorable Vesta Moore
Gallatin County Property Valuation Administrator
(Continued)

### 7. Procedure -

Compare PVA's final budget to actual expenditures to determine if PVA overspent in any account series.

Finding -

The PVA did not overspend in any account series.

### 8. Procedure -

Determine whether collateral is necessary for the PVA's funds. If necessary determine if the PVA was sufficiently collateralized for the year and if a collateral agreement exists.

Finding -

F.D.I.C. insurance is adequate to insure the local funds account. No pledges beyond FDIC are necessary.

### 9. Procedure -

Determine whether timesheets are completed, maintained, and support hours worked.

Finding -

Timesheets are completed, maintained, and support hours worked.

We were not engaged to and did not perform an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the receipts and disbursements, including capital outlay disbursements, city government receipts, recordkeeping, and leases and contracts. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the use of the Property Valuation Administrator and the Department of Revenue and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

Engagement fieldwork completed - September 28, 2005